

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

George E. Harris 3675 W. Teco Avenue Suite 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

SEP 1.9 2016

RE: MUR 6973

Alien Tequila Spirits Company, LLC

Dear Mr. Harris:

On October 26, 2015, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint was forwarded to you at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and information supplied by you, the Commission, on September 13, 2016, voted to dismiss this matter. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Kimberly Hart, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Peter Blumberg

Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
3		MUR 6973
5	RESPONDENTS?	Ruben Kihuen
6 7 8 9	·	Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as Treasurer
10		Tacos and Beer LLC
12 13 14		Alien Tequila Spirits Company, LLC
15 16		Latin Chamber of Commerce
17 18	·	Embassy Nightclub
19 20		Ramirez Group, Inc.
21 22		ActBlue
23		Daniel Chavez
24 25	I. INTRODUCTION	·
26 27	The Complaint in this matter alleg	ges that Ruben Kihuen and his principal campaign
28	committee, Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as treasurer (the	
29	"Committee") (collectively, "the Respondents"), violated multiple provisions of the Federal	
30	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Among other things, the Complaint's	
31	allegations against Respondents include:	
32. 33 34 35	• the making and receipt of unreported prohibited in-kind contributions in the form of free event space, food, beverages, and invitations from Tacos and Beer LLC in connection with an April 25, 2015, Committee fundraiser;	
36 37 38 39	 the making and receipt of unreported prohibited in-kind contributions in the form of free event space, food, beverages, invitations and sponsorship by Embassy Nightclub, Latin Chamber of Commerce and Alien Tequila Spirits Company, LLC in connection with a June 29, 2015, Committee fundraiser; 	

- the failure to report certain campaign expenditures, including salary payments to staff,
 Kihuen's travel costs, and office rent owed to Ramirez Group, Inc. ("Ramirez Group"),
 Kihuen's employer;
 - the inclusion of an incorrect disclaimer on Kihuen's website solicitation page, which was hosted on Respondent ActBlue's website; and
 - an impermissible transfer from Kihuen's state committee to his federal committee.

The Commission received responses from Kihuen and the Committee ("Committee Response"), Alien Tequila, ActBlue, and Daniel Chavez, which generally deny the making or receipt of prohibited in-kind contributions. The Committee acknowledged that it amended disclosure reports to reflect the receipt of certain *de minimis* in-kind contributions and expenditures. In addition, the Respondents deny that they failed to timely report other campaign expenses, violated the disclaimer provisions, or made or received an impermissible transfer.

Tacos and Beer, the Embassy Nightclub, the Ramirez Group, and the Latin Chamber of Commerce did not submit responses.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Act prohibits corporations from making contributions to a federal political committee other than independent-expenditure-only political committees, and further prohibits any officer of a corporation from consenting to any such contribution by the corporation. The Act further prohibits a candidate or political committee from accepting or receiving any contribution prohibited by section 30118(a). A treasurer of a principal campaign committee of a candidate for the House of Representatives is required to file, in an non-election year, quarterly

⁵² U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a), (e).

Id.; see also 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(d).

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

reports of receipts, including contributions, and disbursements.³ In-kind contributions shall be

2 reported as a receipt in accordance with section 104.3(a) and as an expenditure in accordance

with section 104.3(b).4 A debt or obligation, including a loan, written contract, written promise

4 or written agreement to make an expenditure, the amount of which is over \$500 shall be reported

as of the date on which the debt or obligation was incurred, except that any obligation incurred

for rent, salary, or other regularly recurring administrative expenses shall not be reported as a

debt before the payment due date.⁵ The Commission's regulations require disclaimers for all

internet websites of political committees available to the general public as specified by section

110.11(c).6 A federal candidate shall not solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend funds in

connection with an election for federal office, including federal election activity, unless the funds

are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.⁷

In light of the *de minimis* nature of the alleged violations, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion pursuant to *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985), and dismisses the allegations that Ruben

Kihuen, Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as treasurer, Tacos and

Beer, LLC, Embassy Nightclub, Alien Tequila, the Latin Chamber of Commerce, Ramirez

17 Group, Inc, ActBlue and Daniel Chavez violated the Act.8

³ Id. § 30104(a)(2)(B), (b); Id. §§ 104.3(a)(2), (b).

⁴ Id. § 104.13(b).

⁵ *Id.* § 104.11(b).

^{6 11} C.F.R. § 110.11(a), (c).

⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A).

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).